



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/804,188   | 03/19/2004  | Kil-soo Jung         | 1793.1227           | 6761             |  |  |
| 49455  | 7590        | 02/19/2009           | EXAMINER            |                  |  |  |
| STEIN, MCEWEN & BUI, LLP<br>1400 EYE STREET, NW<br>SUITE 300<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20005 |             |                      |                     | TEKLE, DANIEL T  |  |  |
| ART UNIT   |             | PAPER NUMBER         |                     |                  |  |  |
| 2621   |             |                      |                     |                  |  |  |
| MAIL DATE  |             | DELIVERY MODE        |                     |                  |  |  |
| 02/19/2009   |             | PAPER                |                     |                  |  |  |

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   |                        |                     |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|
| <b>Advisory Action<br/>Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief</b> | <b>Application No.</b> | <b>Applicant(s)</b> |
|   | 10/804,188             | JUNG ET AL.         |
|   | <b>Examiner</b>        | <b>Art Unit</b>     |
|   | DANIEL TEKLE           | 2621                |

**--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

THE REPLY FILED 08 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

- a)  The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b)  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### NOTICE OF APPEAL

2.  The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

#### AMENDMENTS

3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because

- (a)  They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b)  They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
- (c)  They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d)  They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).

4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).

5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The 101 rejection of claim 1-8, 28-39 and 46.

6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)  will not be entered, or b)  will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) objected to: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-4,6-17,19,21-42,44 and 45.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: \_\_\_\_\_.

#### AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

#### REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  
See Continuation Sheet.

12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_

13.  Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

/Marsha D. Banks-Harold/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2621

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant arguments.

Applicant argues on page 13 of the remark, "Haskell only discloses an MPEG-4 system that offers a variety of multimedia functions, but does not discloses a medium comprising core mode data, full mode data, and startup data.

In response the examiner respectfully disagrees. Haskell discloses core mode data means (MPEG-4 audio visual object, MPEG-4 browsing and interaction, including navigation [paragraph 0062]); full mode data means (MPEG-4 based multimedia players and browser to facilitate these flexibilities, such as programmatic control via JavaScript and Java [paragraph 0009 and JavaScript via HTML 0084]); and startup data which the claim language startup designates one of the mode data to be initially reproduced among the core mode data, browser mode data, and the program mode data means (the claim language required one out of three; however Haskell discloses core mode data as mention above, browser mode data and program control [paragraph 0009 and through out the reference]).

Applicant argument on page 14 of the remark regarding the storage medium, "Haskell does not suggest a storage medium that is loaded into a reproducing apparatus"; in response the examiner respectfully disagree since Haskell clearly show MPEG-4-coded data received from a storage device (paragraph 0031 and 0035). In addition the storage device of Haskell has a broad interpretation of a recording medium.

Applicant argument regarding a navigation data of claim 28; in response Haskell clearly teaches as disclosed in paragraph 0062. .